

Factors to consider in infrastructure investment by multilateral development banks: an empirical study based on Latin America*

Xie Wenzhe Guo Yifan

Abstract: Multilateral development banks are one of the important sources of investment and financing for infrastructure construction in Latin America. This article analyzes the main factors that may affect traditional multilateral development banks such as the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank when selecting investment target countries. Based on the World Bank Using the PPI database and multilateral development bank reports, this paper uses a fixed effects model to conduct an empirical analysis of the multilateral infrastructure support funds received by 17 Latin American countries from 1996 to 2020. The analysis results show that in Latin America, traditional multilateral development banks Tend to support countries with lower per capita GDP, faster GDP growth, a higher proportion of government expenditure in GDP, a larger number of companies that have passed ISO environmental assessment certification per unit of GDP, and countries that are more consistent with the United States in voting at the United Nations General Assembly. BRICS countries As emerging multilateral development banks, the New Development Bank and the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank can learn from the experience of traditional multilateral development banks in the field of infrastructure investment and properly handle competition and cooperation with these traditional institutions. When cooperating with Latin American countries, they can combine Latin American actual exploration and Innovate the "five-dimensional integration" consensus, focus on development, innovate financing models, and develop new financial services and financial products.

Keywords: Multilateral development banks in Latin America, development financing infrastructure, PPP model.

About the author: Xie Wenzhe, Ph.D. in economics, researcher at the Economics Office, Institute of Latin America, Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Guo Yifan, master's student in the Department of Latin American Studies,

University of Chinese Academy of Social Sciences. No.: F831 2

Document identification code: A Article number: 1002 - 6649 (2022) 06 - 0131 - 21

** This article is supported by the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences University (Graduate School) Graduate Research Innovation Support Program Project "Research on Multilateral Development Bank Loan Behavior from the Perspective of Public Choice" (No. 2022 - KY - 152), the National Social Science Fund Key Project "Double Cycle New "Research on the Development and Challenges of Economic and Trade Relations between China and Latin America under the Pattern" (No.: 21AGJ013) and the phased results of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences' innovative project "Research on the Long-term Development and Dual Cycles of Latin American Economy" (No.: 2021LMSB03).

Infrastructure investment is one of the important factors in promoting economic growth and improving income levels. The World Bank pointed out in its 1994 World Development Report that, generally speaking, infrastructure stock is positively related to per capita GDP. Every increase in infrastructure stock 1% per capita GDP can increase by 1% . In the short term, infrastructure investment can stimulate effective demand and increase employment opportunities in the construction industry and related industries. In the medium and long term, infrastructure construction and development can improve the efficiency of commodity circulation and the allocation of production factors. Efficiency, thereby improving the productive capacity of the economy. Infrastructure construction can also help solve the problem of inequality. For example, the research results of Brazilian scholars show that infrastructure is crucial to promoting social development and reducing inequality in Brazil.

Public sector , In particular, government departments are the main investors in large-scale infrastructure projects. According to the World Bank's "Private Investment Participation in Infrastructure Database" (PPI database), more than 2/3 of the infrastructure investments in developing countries (regions) come from government departments. For the vast majority of Latin American and Caribbean (Latin America) countries, the debt burden of the government sector is relatively heavy, the fiscal deficit has remained high for a long time, and the efficiency of tax collection and administration is relatively low. These unfavorable factors have restricted the fundamentals of Latin American countries to a great extent. The Inter-American Development Bank's 2019 Macroeconomic Report pointed out that since the 1990s, Latin American countries' public investment in infrastructure as a proportion of GDP has generally shown a downward trend. Compared with other developing countries, Latin American countries' Infrastructure investment is at a low level. Overall, the proportion of infrastructure investment in Latin America to regional GDP is only 6.9% in the Middle East and North Africa. In the Asia-Pacific region, this proportion is 77% .

Insufficient investment not only causes huge economic losses to Latin American countries, but also seriously hinders economic and social development. International financial institutions led by Western developed economies believe that the participation of the private sector is crucial to narrowing or eliminating the infrastructure investment gap. The participation of the private sector Not only can it provide abundant funds

Infrastructure investment is one of the important factors in promoting economic growth and improving income levels. The World Bank pointed out in its 1994 World Development Report that, generally speaking, infrastructure stock is positively related to per capita GDP. Every increase in infrastructure stock 1% per capita GDP can increase by 1% . In the short term, infrastructure investment can stimulate effective demand and increase employment opportunities in the construction industry and related industries. In the medium and long term, infrastructure construction and development can improve the efficiency of commodity circulation and the allocation of production factors. Efficiency, thereby improving the productive capacity of the economy. Infrastructure construction can also help solve the problem of inequality. For example, the research results of Brazilian scholars show that infrastructure is crucial to promoting social development and reducing inequality in Brazil.

Public sector , In particular, government departments are the main investors in large-scale infrastructure projects. According to the World Bank's "Private Investment Participation in Infrastructure Database" (PPI database), more than 2/3 of the infrastructure investments in developing countries (regions) come from government departments. For the vast majority of Latin American and Caribbean (Latin America) countries, the debt burden of the government sector is relatively heavy, the fiscal deficit has remained high for a long time, and the efficiency of tax collection and administration is relatively low. These unfavorable factors have restricted the fundamentals of Latin American countries to a great extent. The Inter-American Development Bank's 2019 Macroeconomic Report pointed out that since the 1990s, Latin American countries' public investment in infrastructure as a proportion of GDP has generally shown a downward trend. Compared with other developing countries, Latin American countries' Infrastructure investment is at a low level. Overall, the proportion of infrastructure investment in Latin America to regional GDP is only 6.9% in the Middle East and North Africa. In the Asia-Pacific region, this proportion is 77% .

Insufficient investment not only causes huge economic losses to Latin American countries, but also seriously hinders economic and social development. International financial institutions led by Western developed economies believe that the participation of the private sector is crucial to narrowing or eliminating the infrastructure investment gap. The participation of the private sector Not only can it provide abundant funds

Data, and these data can basically meet the needs of this article. According to the

World Bank PPI database, in Latin America, the total number of infrastructure PPP projects started between 1990 and 2020 is 2359 (referred to as "total number of regional PPP projects"). The total investment amount of these projects is US\$730.428 billion (referred to as the "total investment"). The start-up year refers to the year when the project begins to be implemented, with the formal signing of a PPP contract (or other types of franchise cooperation) between the government department and the private sector as the main symbol. During the same period, among the total number of regional PPP projects, there were 366 PPP projects supported by multilateral development banks (accounting for approximately 15.5% of the total number of regional PPP projects), with an investment amount of US\$148.971 billion (accounting for approximately 20.4% of the total investment). Among these 366 projects, 333 are in normal status, 15 have been canceled, 13 are "in trouble", and 5 have entered the financing closure stage.

Since the 1990s, multilateral development banks' investment support for infrastructure in Latin America has the following four distinct characteristics. First, it has

experienced two rounds of upsurges, namely the period from 1996 to 2000 and the period from 2009 to 2014. Both rounds of upsurges were They emerged after the outbreak of systemic crises, corresponding to the Mexican peso crisis in the 1990s, the Southeast Asian financial crisis, and the global financial crisis in 2008-2009. After the outbreak of systemic crises, governments of various countries, especially major Latin American countries, Governments of emerging market countries regard infrastructure investment and the credit growth behind it as an important engine for economic growth. Under this circumstance, the annual support amount of multilateral development banks for infrastructure in Latin America has increased significantly. Mainly driven by Due to the impact and impact of the new coronavirus, the annual support amount of multilateral development banks for infrastructure in Latin America has been significantly reduced in 2020. It can be predicted that in the post-epidemic period, Latin America will see a new round of multilateral development bank credit growth climax, especially Infrastructure Investment Area

Second, the support is low. In the World Bank's PPI database, total investment mainly includes private investment and government investment. Using the PPI database, the author conducted an item-by-item analysis of 366 infrastructure projects supported by multilateral development banks. The amount of support from the multilateral development banks is calculated. Since individual projects do not list the capital contribution or proportion of capital contribution of the multilateral development banks, there is a certain degree of deviation in the calculation results. According to the calculation, from 1990 to 2020, the multilateral development banks provided loans, The amount of support provided to 366 projects amounted to US\$34.197 billion through guarantees, syndicated loans, equity investments, etc. For the convenience of discussion, this article refers to the support amount of multilateral development banks collectively as "investment by multilateral development banks." From the perspective of the total investment amount of 366 projects, the investment of multilateral development banks is only equivalent to 47% of the total investment amount of these From regional PPP projects projects.

Third, country concentration is high. This article refers to the investment provided by multilateral development banks to infrastructure PPP projects in a certain Latin American country as "country-specific investment", and the total country-specific investment is called "total regional investment". According to country investment as a proportion of total regional investment, multilateral development banks' investment in the region from 1990 to 2020

After the establishment of the NRIC Bank and the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank, empirical analysis of the factors influencing infrastructure investment by multilateral development banks has increasingly become a hot topic in domestic academic circles. Some studies have chosen a global perspective, while others have focused on certain regions (such as ASEAN, Africa, etc.) etc.). This article chooses Latin America to empirically analyze the influencing factors of multilateral development banks' investment in infrastructure in Latin America from five aspects: international, political, social, environmental, and economic. The author believes that in Latin America, whether a major infrastructure project is feasible is mainly affected by five factors, and all five factors are indispensable.

(1) International factors

The political or strategic needs of multilateral development bank funding countries have a greater impact on investment allocation. Some econometric models show that the investment allocation of the Asian Development Bank is positively related to the closeness of interests of recipient countries, Japan, and the United States. Specifically, generally speaking, the amount of investment from the Asian Development Bank received by a country is positively related to the bilateral trade volume between the country and Japan and the United States, and the amount of bilateral aid from Japan and the United States to the country. Some scholars have found through research on the World Bank loan panel data that: The amount of World Bank loans received by a country is positively related to the trade volume between the country and the United States, and the consistency of the foreign policy between the country and the United States. Some studies use lagged variable data to show that in the Middle East and North Africa, when a country adopts a pro-American policy, Project loans from the International Monetary Fund or World Bank that they apply for are more likely to be approved. Some studies focus on the hegemonic control of the United States over the Inter-American Development Bank. Using a sample selection model, they found that the amount of investment or assistance from the Inter-American Development Bank that a country received was significantly different from that of the country. The amount of U.S. military and economic assistance received is positively related to the consistency of the country's vote with the United States in the United Nations General Assembly. Compared with the African Development Bank, the Asian Development Bank, and the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the United States has achieved

a higher degree of support in

the Inter-American Development Bank. Hegemonic control. (2) Political factors: Domestic political stability, the level of rule of law, the degree of marketization and other factors will also affect investment in infrastructure projects. Some scholars have found that the stronger the domestic political stability of a country, the more World Bank the country has obtained.

Xie Wenze, "China-Latin America Economic and Trade Cooperation from the Perspective of Great Changes", Beijing: China Social Sciences Press, 2021, Page 166, Christopher Kilby "Donor Information in Multilateral Development Banks: The Case of the Asian Development Bank"

Y. "The Impact of Domestic Political Stability on Infrastructure Investment in Latin America: An Empirical Study Based on the Asian Development Bank"

Y. "The Impact of Domestic Political Stability on Infrastructure Investment in Latin America: An Empirical Study Based on the Asian Development Bank"

Y. "The Impact of Domestic Political Stability on Infrastructure Investment in Latin America: An Empirical Study Based on the Asian Development Bank"

Y. Pang Xun, He Pingkun: "Hegemony and Institutions: How the United States Manipulates Regional Development Banks", published in "World Economy and Politics", 2015 Issue 9, 2016, pages 4-30, pages 155-156.

The greater the loan amount. Some studies have found that pro-Western countries receive more assistance from multilateral financial institutions. Some studies believe that governments with a strong market orientation, stability and responsibility prefer the PPP model. Some studies use the United Nations PPI The database, through binary choice model analysis, found that countries with better policy environments are more likely to have infrastructure projects funded by multilateral development banks. However, in the category of PPP projects, multilateral development banks are more inclined to support political stability. Some scholars have used data analysis from countries along the "Belt and Road" and African countries to find that institutional quality can affect the effectiveness of PPP projects by affecting the risk-taking level of the private sector. Specifically, a country's level of rule of law, The higher the government efficiency, corruption control and ability to promote the development of the private sector, the more likely the private sector will choose to take more risks.

(3) Social factors Some

scholars believe that infrastructure projects funded by international development financial institutions bring about "inherent tensions" in the triangular relationship between development banks, borrowing country governments and local communities. Some scholars point out that environmental and social issues Risk management policy (ESRM) has become one of the focuses of international development financing institutions, and its goal is to "help ensure that institutional investments comply with minimum social, environmental and governance standards." For example, the Inter-American Development Bank in 2017 In 2016, "increasing social inclusion and reducing inequality" was regarded as the first strategic goal.

Some studies have found that pro-Western countries receive more assistance from multilateral financial institutions. Some studies believe that governments with a strong market orientation, stability and responsibility prefer the PPP model.

Some studies use the United Nations PPI The database, through binary choice model analysis, found that countries with better policy environments are more likely to have infrastructure projects funded by multilateral development banks. However, in the category of PPP projects, multilateral development banks are more inclined to support political stability.

Some scholars have used data analysis from countries along the "Belt and Road" and African countries to find that institutional quality can affect the effectiveness of PPP projects by affecting the risk-taking level of the private sector. Specifically, a country's level of rule of law, The higher the government efficiency, corruption control and ability to promote the development of the private sector, the more likely the private sector will choose to take more risks.

Ye Fang: «Influencing factors on the spatial distribution of investment in infrastructure projects by multilateral development banks—an empirical analysis based on the World Bank's PPI database», published in «Fiscal Research», Issue 10, 2017, pp. 65-75, Luo

Yu, Wang Fang, Chen Xi: «How institutional quality and international financial institutions affect the effectiveness of PPP projects—a study based on the empirical data of 46 countries along the "Belt and Road Initiative"», published in «Financial Research», Issue 4, 2017, No. 61 - Page 77, Huo Weidong, Chen Ruoyu, Li Xingyun: «Institutional quality, multilateral financial institution support and PPP project effectiveness—Empirical evidence from African PPP project data», Published in «Economic and Management Research», Issue 3, 2018, No. Pages 52 - 64

Some studies have found that pro-Western countries receive more assistance from multilateral financial institutions. Some studies believe that governments with a strong market orientation, stability and responsibility prefer the PPP model.

Some studies use the United Nations PPI The database, through binary choice model analysis, found that countries with better policy environments are more likely to have infrastructure projects funded by multilateral development banks. However, in the category of PPP projects, multilateral development banks are more inclined to support political stability.

Some scholars have used data analysis from countries along the "Belt and Road" and African countries to find that institutional quality can affect the effectiveness of PPP projects by affecting the risk-taking level of the private sector. Specifically, a country's level of rule of law, The higher the government efficiency, corruption control and ability to promote the development of the private sector, the more likely the private sector will choose to take more risks.

Due to the particularity and complexity of indigenous issues in Latin America, social factors have unique significance in infrastructure investment. However, there has been no relevant quantitative empirical research. There are only qualitative case analysis studies. There is a study based on 11 cases in 4 Latin American countries. Taking an infrastructure project as an analysis sample, the interaction between the development bank, the national government and the local community under the goals of social security and environmental protection was examined. It was found that when the country, the government and development financial institutions all have security policies, the development Infrastructure projects funded by financial institutions have less deforestation.

(4) Environmental factors

The state of a country's natural environment and the government's preference for environmental policies will also affect infrastructure investment. The research ideas focusing on environmental loans are worth learning from. If there are relevant studies using data on more than 7,500 environmental loans issued by multilateral development banks from 1980 to 1999, Factors such as higher deforestation rates, more threatened bird species, better sanitation conditions, larger areas of protected land, and a lower proportion of agriculture were found.

The use of green energy or technology can increase a country's probability of obtaining environmental loans from multilateral development banks. Some studies, based on data from countries along the "Belt and Road", found that the use of green energy or technology has a significant positive impact on attracting investment in low-income countries and low- and middle-income countries.

(5) Economic factors Economic

variables can be divided into two categories. The first category is the funding status of the multilateral development banks themselves, especially the benefit expectations of the multilateral development banks for the projects they invest. The second category is related to the economic conditions of the investment target countries. Variables. For the first type of variables, some studies have pointed out that multilateral development banks have developed various social cost-benefit analysis tools. This assessment tool has made great progress compared with the 1960s and 1970s. Some scholars also pointed out that these tools It is not perfect. Large infrastructure investments still often experience large cost overruns and benefit overestimation. However, for academic research, since most banks do not disclose their specific evaluation procedures, it is difficult to obtain better results for the first type of variables. observation data

Most empirical studies focus on the second type of variables. Some empirical studies show that multilateral development banks support

“How to effectively attract private capital to invest in green infrastructure? —Based on the “Belt and Road Initiative” Empirical Research on Countries (Regions) Along the Belt and Road”, published in “Enterprise Economy”, Issue 10, 2020, Pages 13-22.

Sun Xin, Ke Ding, Zhang Wenzhong: “How to effectively attract private capital to invest in green infrastructure? —Based on the “Belt and Road Initiative” Empirical Research on Countries (Regions) Along the Belt and Road”, published in “Enterprise Economy”, Issue 10, 2020, Pages 13-22.

“How to effectively attract private capital to invest in green infrastructure? —Based on the “Belt and Road Initiative” Empirical Research on Countries (Regions) Along the Belt and Road”, published in “Enterprise Economy”, Issue 10, 2020, Pages 13-22.

The possibility of holding an infrastructure project in a country is negatively related to the country's per capita income and international reserves, and positively related to the degree of financial market development and the level of government foreign debt. Some studies show that the country where PPP projects are located has lower per capita GDP and higher GDP. Factors such as the level of financial development have a positive impact on attracting private sector investment. Some studies have shown that the improvement of the level of economic development and the expansion of market demand will help promote private capital participation in PPP project investment, and these two factors are all the most influential among the influencing factors. Some scholars took projects in countries along the "Belt and Road" as samples. Their research showed that the economic risk of the country where the project is located is negatively related to the amount of multilateral development bank loans and the leverage ratio of the project. Other studies showed that the improvement of the economic development level of investment target countries can effectively promote private capital investment in green infrastructure projects in the country.

Three empirical models and regression results

Panel data is two-dimensional data obtained simultaneously in time and cross-section. Statistical methods related to panel data have been widely used in empirical research in economics. Its advantage is that it can handle the internal differences caused by unobservable individual heterogeneity, natural problems, thereby providing more information on individual dynamic behaviors. The author follows the five-factor framework of infrastructure project investment and puts forward hypotheses on the five factors of international, political, social, environmental, and economic factors, and establishes a panel data regression model for

testing. (1)

Hypothesis 1 International factors

Public choice theory believes that when multilateral institutions make decisions, they will be affected by the commercial, political or strategic interests of their major funding countries. In Latin America, the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank are the leaders in infrastructure investment by multilateral development banks. In both institutions, the United States has the most decision-making power. Specifically, the voting rights of the United States in the World Bank Group and the Inter-American Development Bank are respectively

Ye Fang: «Influencing factors on the spatial distribution of investment in infrastructure projects by multilateral development banks—an empirical analysis based on the World Bank's PPI database», published in «Fiscal Research», Issue 10, 2017, pp. 65-75. Luo Yu, Wang

Fang, Chen Xi: «How institutional quality and international financial institutions affect the effectiveness of PPP projects—Based on the "Belt and Road Initiative" "Research on the Empirical Data of 46 Countries", published in "Financial Research", Issue 4, 2017, pp. 61-77.

Yang Lihua and Wang Zhe: «Analysis of influencing factors of private capital participation in PPP projects—Empirical analysis based on the background of the AIIB», published in «Asia-Pacific Economy», Issue 1, 2018, pp. 53-61, p. 146. Shen Mengxi: «Country risk,

support from multilateral financial institutions and the capital structure of PPP project financing—based on the "Belt and Road Initiative" Empirical Analysis of PPP Project Data», Published in "Economic and Management Research", Issue 11, 2016, Pages 3-10.

Sun Xin, Ke Ding, Zhang Wenzhong: «How to effectively attract private capital to invest in green infrastructure? —Based on the "Belt and Road Initiative" Empirical Research on Countries (Regions) Along the Belt and Road», published in "Enterprise Economy", Issue 10, 2020, Pages 13-22.

Xie Wenze, "China-Latin America Economic and Trade Cooperation from the Perspective of Great Changes", Beijing: China Social Sciences Press, 2021,

15.7% and 30%. Major loan or investment decisions made by these two institutions require at least 85% of the voting rights to agree. In other words, the United States can exclusively veto major decisions of the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank. Based on this background, this article The following hypothesis is put forward:

H1: The amount of investment in infrastructure projects by traditional multilateral development banks is positively related to the similarity of interests between the target country and the United States.

2 Political factors

The investment cycle of infrastructure projects is long and the project structure is complex and diverse, with a high degree of uncertainty. Therefore, countries with a higher degree of political stability are more likely to receive support from multilateral development banks for their infrastructure projects. Combining existing literature, This article proposes the following hypothesis:

H2: There is a relationship between the investment amount of traditional multilateral development banks in infrastructure projects and the politics of the country where the project is located. Stability is positively correlated.

3 Social Factors As

mentioned above, environmental and social risk management policies have become a major focus of multilateral development financial institutions, especially in infrastructure projects. This article believes that multilateral development banks, as public development financing institutions, should be committed to helping investment The target country solves the problem of development inequality. Therefore, this article puts forward the following hypothesis.

H3: The amount of investment by traditional multilateral development banks in infrastructure projects is related to the social conditions of the country where the project is located. The degree of inequality is positively correlated.

4 Environmental Factors

This article believes that in order to reflect its exemplary role in environmental protection, multilateral development banks will tend to choose countries with higher requirements for environmental impact assessment for investment. Through this incentive mechanism, multilateral development banks can encourage developing countries Attract international multilateral funds through the implementation of higher standards of environmental protection policies. Therefore, this article puts forward the following hypothesis.

H4: The amount of investment in infrastructure projects by traditional multilateral development banks is positively related to the environmental protection emphasis of the country where the project is located.

5 Economic Factors The

macroeconomic environment will also affect project risks and the participation of different entities. In view of the multilateral development banks' nature, this article believes that poorer countries are more likely to receive support from multilateral development banks. At the same time, because multilateral development banks also have certain profit needs, therefore, countries with better economic growth expectations will receive support.

^yAccording to the 2019 fiscal year data of the World Bank Group and the Inter-American Development Bank, the World Bank Group only counts the international financial institutions to which it belongs.

2 Political factors: This article uses the World Bank's global governance database (WGI dataset) to describe the political situation of the target country. The WGI database has a total of 6 indicators. Since these 6 indicators have strong collinearity, this article only selects the more representative ones. An indicator of gender, that is, political stability, as an explanatory variable, expressed by $psi_{i,t}$. 3 Social factors: This article chooses the Gini coefficient to

reflect the social inequality in Latin American countries. The data comes from the United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and Caribbean America database, expressed by $gini_{i,t}$. Express

4 Environmental factors: This article chooses the number of ISO14001 environmental management system certification companies per 1 billion US dollars of GDP to indicate the target country's emphasis on environmental protection. The data comes from the ECLAC database and is expressed by $isoi_{i,t}$. The more ISO14001 Number of certified companies \bar{y} It means that the target country has a high awareness of environmental protection, and the country's projects may be more in line with the value tendency of multilateral development banks to promote environmental

protection. 5 Economic factors: The economic factor variables selected in this article include the constant price per capita of the target country GDP, GDP growth rate at constant prices and the proportion of government expenditure in GDP are used respectively as $gdppc_{i,t}$, $gdpgro_{i,t}$ and $gov_g_{i,t}$. $dpi_{i,t}$ represents. In addition, this article will also include two variables describing the financial risk of the target country as control variables, including the currency of the target country. In addition to the inflation rate and the coverage rate of non-performing loan provisions, $cpi_{i,t}$ and $npl_{i,t}$ are used respectively. In \bar{y} Said that in addition to the non-performing loan provision coverage ratio from the World Bank addition to the Global Financial Development Database (GFDD database), other variables are from the ECLAC database. In addition, it should be pointed out

that \bar{y} Because banks are making The target country data of that year cannot be observed in the year of investment decision. In order to reduce the interference of possible reverse causality, the author performs first-order lag treatment on all explanatory variables. Therefore, the time interval of the explained variables in the model is 1996- In 2020, the time interval of the explanatory variables is 1995-2019, that is, the investment decision of the multilateral development bank in year t is explained by the variables of the target country in year $t-1$. This is also reflected in the subscripts of the variables in the equation. \bar{y} Finally, for the missing variables, this article will use the linear interpolation method of stata to complete the data to form balanced panel data.

Tables 1 and 2 report the descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation coefficient and variance inflation factor (VIF) of each variable. The correlation coefficients between variables are all lower than 0.5, and the highest variance inflation factor is 2.09. This shows that the model is not Affected by multicollinearity

Panel data generally includes three model estimation methods, which are mixed regression model estimation, random effects model estimation and fixed effects model estimation. Based on the results of F test, LM test and Hausman test, this paper finally selected the fixed effects model. Regression analysis (see Table 3).

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of variables

variable	Chinese definition	expected symbol	Number of observations	mean	standard deviation	minimum	maximum
yyyyy	The multilateral development that country i received in year t Amount of investment in bank infrastructure	/ yyy	yy yy	yyy yy	y yy	yyyy yy	
yyyyy	One with the United States voting in the UN General Assembly Consistency	y	yyy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy
psiy	political stability	y	yyy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy
yyy yy	Gini Coefficient	y	yyy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy
yyyyy	Passes per unit of GDP Number of ISO14001 certified companies	y	yyy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy
gdpccyt	GDP per capita		yyy yyy yy yyy yy				yyyy yy
gdpgroiyt	GDP growth rate	y	yyy	y yy	y yy y yy		yy yy
gov_ gdpiyt	Government expenditure as a share of GDP		yyy	yy yy	y yy	yy yy	yy yy
yy yy	Inflation rate	/ yyy yy yy yy yy			y yy	yyyy yy	
yy yy	Coverage ratio of non-performing loan provisions/425		yyy yy	yy yy	yy yy		yy yy

Source: Author's calculation and compilation

Table 2 Pearson correlation coefficient and variance inflation factor of variables

	yyy	yy	yy	yyyy	yyy	yyyyy yyy yy yyy yy		yy	yy
yy	y								
yy y yy	yy	y							
yy y yy	yy yy	yy	y						
yyyy	y yy y yy	yy	y yy	y					
yy	y yy y yy	yy	y yy	y yy y	y				
yyyyy y yy	y yy y yy	yy	y yy	y yy	yy	y			
yyyyyy y yy y y yy y yy		y	y yy	y yy y yy	yy	y			
yyyy yy y y yy y y yy	yy	y yy	y yy	y yy y yy	y	y yy	yy	y	
yy	y y yy y y yy	yy	y yy	y yy	y y yy y yy y y yy	yy	y yy	y	
yy	y yy y y yy	yy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy
yy		y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy	y yy y yy

Note: y p < 0.1 y y p < 0.05 ***** p < 0.01

Source: Author's calculation and compilation

Table 3 Model setting test

Test method	statistical index	statistical value	p value	result
F test	F(16,373)	1.0152	0.0152	Reject mixed regression and select fixed effects model
LM test	chi2(01)	0.4568	0.4568	Rejection of random effects selection mixed regression model
Hausman test	chi2(9)	0.0299	0.0299	Reject random effects and choose fixed effects model

Source: Author's calculation and compilation

(3) Regression results In

order to analyze the differences in factors considered by different types of multilateral development banks when investing in infrastructure, this article will conduct three regressions to conduct heterogeneity analysis. Model (1) in Table 4 is the full sample data, and no bank analysis is performed. Differentiation, this set of data describes the overall status of all multilateral development banks that provide infrastructure

investment in Latin America. This article divides the Latin American region into Multilateral development banks are divided into two categories. The difference in voting rights of multilateral development banks has a significant impact on the determination of loan interest rates and the allocation of loan funds. Therefore, it is reasonable to conduct heterogeneity analysis through this classification. Table 4 Model (2) shows that the explained variables only include the infrastructure investment amount of the two dominant multilateral development banks in the United States, namely the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank. The explained variables of model (3) are the basis of other multilateral development banks. The amount of investment in facilities mainly includes CAF-Development Bank of Latin America, Central American Economic Integration Bank, European Investment Bank, etc. Table 4 shows the results of each group of regressions. Table 5 compares the signs and significance of each group of regressions.

Table 4 Regression results

	Model(1)	Model(2)	Model(3)
	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Y Guo Yifan, Xie Wenzhe: «Multilateral Development Banks in Latin America: Origin, Development and Change», published in «Overseas Investment and Export Credit», Issue 4, 2022, pp. 25-29.

yyyyyy	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)
yyyyyy	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)
yyyyyy	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)
yyy yyy	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)
yyy yyy	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y}$)
Constant term	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$)	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ ($\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$)
Number of observations	yyy	yyy	yyy
goodness of fit	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$
$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$	$\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$

Note: Standard errors are in parentheses. $\hat{y} \hat{y} < 0$ $\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ Data $\hat{y} \hat{y} < \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ $\hat{y} \hat{y} < \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$

source: Calculated and compiled by the author.

Table 5 Expected signs and comparison of signs of each regression

Explanatory variable	expected sign	Model(1)	Model(2)	Model(3)
		All multilateral development banks	World Bank Group and Inter-American Development Bank	CAF—Development Bank of Latin America, etc. Other multilateral development banks
yyyy	\hat{y}	$\hat{y} \hat{y}$	$\hat{y} \hat{y}$	\hat{y}
yyyy	\hat{y}	.	.	.
yyy yyy	\hat{y}	\hat{y}	\hat{y}	\hat{y}
yyyy	\hat{y}	$\hat{y} \hat{y}$	\hat{y}	\hat{y}
yyyyyy	.	$\hat{y} \hat{y}$	$\hat{y} \hat{y}$.
yyyyyy	\hat{y}	$\hat{y} \hat{y}$	$\hat{y} \hat{y}$	\hat{y}
yyyyyy	\hat{y}	$\hat{y} \hat{y}$	$\hat{y} \hat{y}$	\hat{y}

Note: $\hat{y} \hat{y} < 0$ $\hat{y} \hat{y}$ $\hat{y} \hat{y} < \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ $\hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$ $\hat{y} \hat{y} < \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y} \hat{y}$

Source: Author's calculation and compilation

The joint significance F test shows that in the three sets of regressions, model (3) CAF-Latin America Development

The result of the group of non-U.S.-dominated multilateral development banks such as banks is not significant, and the single explanatory variable

None of the coefficients are significant. One possible reason is that the sample size is small and it is difficult to obtain better estimates. Also

It is possible that this empirical model does not conform to the actual investment decision-making behavior of non-U.S.-led multilateral development banks.

As a model, in fact, since the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank participated in most project investments,

Other banks have less investment. Therefore, in model (3), most data points are 0. The small changes in the dependent variable also lead to the insignificant coefficient. Therefore, the analysis in this article focuses on the first two models.

Variables that show significance in the regression are:

1 In the model (1) full sample data group and model (2) the US-led multilateral development bank group, the coefficient of the consistency of the target country and the United States in voting at the United Nations General Assembly in a certain year uni_{it} is significantly positive at the 5% level. However, in model (3), this explanatory variable is not significant. This is similar to the research conclusion of Pang Xun et al., that is, in the multilateral development banks led by the United States, whether the target country can receive investment support is closely related to the country's investment support. is significantly related to the degree of compatibility with U.S. policy preferences. However, in non-U.S.-led multilateral development banks, this factor is not

significant. 2 In model (1), the number of ISO14001 environmental management system certified enterprises per 1 billion U.S. dollars of GDP is iso_{it} . The coefficient of iso_{it} is significantly positive at the 10% level. This shows that when selecting investment target countries, multilateral development banks will consider the level and quality of the country's environmental protection work. This is consistent with the values proclaimed by most multilateral development banks. Consistent. The reason why the sub-samples are not significant may be that the small number of samples leads to information loss and the estimation accuracy decreases.

3 In Model (1) and Model (2), the coefficients of the explanatory variable GDP per capita are significantly negative at the 1% level and the 10% level respectively. This shows to a certain extent that multilateral development banks tend to invest in poorer countries. The country's infrastructure is also one of the concrete manifestations of the multilateral development banks' implementation of "development" responsibilities.

4 In Model (1) and Model (2), the coefficients of the explanatory variable GDP growth are both significantly positive at the 5% level. As mentioned above, although it is difficult for this article to know the cost-effectiveness of multilateral development banks for specific projects. Analytical tools, but the coefficient of the variable GDP growth rate is significantly positive, which shows that profit prospect expectations are one of the important investment decision-making factors of multilateral development banks.

5 In Model (1) and Model (2), the coefficients of government expenditure as a share of GDP are significant at the 5% and 1% levels respectively. This shows that governments with greater financial pressure are more likely to choose to use multilateral development banks. Supported PPP model to solve the problem of insufficient investment in infrastructure.

Finally, the regression results show that the impact of political stability and inequality on the allocation of investment amounts by multilateral development banks is not significant. The reason may be that since the 1990s, Latin American countries have generally been relatively politically stable, which has caused losses to foreign investment. The frequency of serious political turmoil is low, and Latin American countries also have better credit for repaying multilateral foreign debt generated by infrastructure projects. Therefore, multilateral development banks may not give more consideration to political stability factors. As for the Gini coefficient item, it is not significant. Combined with the above point 3, that is, the multilateral investment received by Latin American countries is significantly negatively correlated with per capita GDP. This may indicate that multilateral development banks are more concerned about the inequality between countries, and are not concerned with the inequality within a country. The focus of development banks on investment country selection. If you want to explore whether multilateral development banks are also committed to easing a country's

The internal inequality needs further research. The sample needs to be refined into the multilateral funds received by each province (state/region) of each country, and then this data is regressed on the relevant variables of the province. (4) Robustness Test This

article chooses three methods

to conduct robustness testing. Due to space limitations, this article only uses full sample estimation.

The results of the calculated model (see

Table 6). First of all, starting from the data, the larger Latin American economies have received the vast majority of the amount of multilateral support. In order to prevent multilateral development banks from having different investment strategies for economies of different sizes, Here we select the 10 economies that have received the most multilateral funds in Latin America for regression. According to statistics, these 10 economies have received 92% of the total infrastructure investment from multilateral development banks in Latin America. Therefore, this model should be relatively representative. The results of model (4) show that the significance of most explanatory variables has not changed. Only the significance of the environmental variable coefficient has slightly decreased. The p value has increased from 0.093 in the baseline model to 0.110. Then, starting from the variables, This article performs lag first-order treatment on several variables with high significance, including the consistency of the voting between the target country and the United States at the United Nations General Assembly in a certain year ($uniijt$), GDP per capita measured at constant prices ($gdppciijt$), GDP growth There are four explanatory variables: speed ($gdpgroiijt$) and government expenditure as a share of GDP ($gov_gdpiijt$). It should be pointed out that, as mentioned above, in order to avoid reverse causation, all explanatory variables in the benchmark regression have been order lag processing, therefore The four lag variables here are actually two orders of lag relative to the annual investment in infrastructure. Model (5) is the regression result. The results show that the coefficients of GDP per capita and the proportion of government expenditure in GDP still maintain high significance. However, the coefficients on consistency with the United States' vote in the United Nations General Assembly and GDP growth are no longer significant. This shows that the United States and multilateral development banks have a certain degree of "short-sightedness", that is, they only focus on the voting of the target country in the previous year. or economic performance, and is

not sensitive to the performance of earlier years. Finally, from the perspective of measurement methods, since a considerable number of sample points have data of 0, that is, the country did not receive any infrastructure investment from multilateral development banks that year. For this type of Censored data appears at 0. The panel Tobit model assumes the observed value of the explained variable. Due to certain restrictions, it does not fully reflect the actual state of the explained variable. Specifically in this article, data that was originally less than 0 are merged into 0. Therefore, this article will also use the panel Tobit method for robustness testing. The LR test at the bottom of model (6) shows that there is strong evidence to reject the null hypothesis that there is no individual effect. Therefore, the panel Tobit method of random effects rather than mixed regression should be selected. The regression results can still better support the conclusions obtained by the benchmark model.

Sorted by the funds received, the following are Brazil, Mexico, Argentina, Peru, Colombia, Dominica, and Honduras Spain, Costa Rica, Ecuador and Bolivia.

By constructing an econometric model, this paper mainly analyzes the factors influencing the participation and investment of traditional multilateral development banks such as the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank in infrastructure PPP projects in Latin America, and strives to summarize several factors for emerging multilateral development banks such as the NRIC Bank and the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank to conduct business in Latin America. Some inspiration

(1) Research conclusion This

article is based on the data of 17 Latin American countries from 1996 to 2020 in the World Bank PPI database. The amount of investment in infrastructure PPP projects in Latin America by multilateral development banks is used as the explained variable, and the investment amount of all multilateral development banks, the United States There are three groups of samples: the investment amount of leading multilateral development banks and the investment amount of non-U.S.-led multilateral development banks. Using a fixed effect model, this paper empirically analyzes the factors that affect the infrastructure investment of multilateral development banks in Latin America. The main conclusions are as follows.

First, the economic development status is an important factor affecting the infrastructure investment of multilateral development banks. The lower per capita GDP and higher GDP growth rate of the target country are related to the larger investment amount of multilateral development banks. The former reflects the multilateral development banks' prudent consideration of the "profit" goal. Second, since the 21st century, Latin American countries have generally

adopted the strategy of narrowing the gap between rich and poor, strengthening environmental protection, and achieving sustainable development. and other demands. The empirical results show that the number of ISO14001 environmental management system certification enterprises per 1 billion US dollars of GDP is related to a larger amount of investment from multilateral development banks. The variable Gini coefficient is not significant. This shows that the multilateral development banks tend to invest in countries that attach greater importance to environmental protection.

Third, multilateral development banks often consider the United States when investing in infrastructure in Latin America. Empirical results show that multilateral development banks such as the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank, which are dominated by the United States, tend to choose projects that are more aligned with the interests of the United States. However, there is no evidence that the United States exerts the same hegemonic control over regional multilateral development banks such as the CAF-Development Bank of Latin America and the Bank for Economic Integration of Central America.

Fourth, among the economic factors, the indicator of the target country's government expenditure as a proportion of GDP is significant, which shows that governments with greater financial pressure will have a higher interest in the PPP model. The government hopes to use this model to attract more private investment in order to Alleviating the problem of insufficient infrastructure investment. In addition, the reason why this indicator is significant may also be that multilateral development banks often consider the government's financial capabilities when selecting investment target countries. Generally speaking, when a country's government expenditure accounts for GDP When the proportion of tax is high, it means that the country's government has a high tax collection and administration capacity, which provides a high degree of guarantee for repaying multilateral loans.

(2) Enlightenment

As mentioned above, in Latin America, traditional multilateral development banks such as the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank tend to invest in countries with faster GDP growth, but they are not sensitive to the wealth gap indicator. Therefore, GDP growth Although countries with slower growth rates and larger gaps between rich and poor urgently need to increase investment in infrastructure, they can provide poor people with

